

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 10228-US-PA
Application No.: 10/605,082

REMARKS

Present Status of the Application

The Advisory Action remains rejections on claims 9 and 11-16. Applicants have added new claims 17-18 to further define "the passive component". Claims 9 and 11-18 remain pending in the present application, and reconsideration of those claims is respectfully requested.

Discussion of Claim Rejections

The Advisory Action has stated that Ratifcar et al. (U. S. Publication 2003/0121958; hereinafter Ratifcar) has disclosed the present invention. Particularly, the Office Action refers to [0013] about the substrate 170. *The substrate 170 has been considered to be the passive component in the Office Action.*

Applicants respectfully disagree.

The passive component is an electronic device and can be more specifically the device, such as resistor, capacitor, or inductor. In other words, the substrate 170 *is not known as the passive component.*

In [0013] of Ratifcar, *the substrate 170 is a PCB.*

In [0026], lines 1-3, of Ratifcar, the die 100 is attached to the substrate 170, which is a PCB. In other words, the PCB is a circuit board to serve as a general connection between several different components in a circuit design *and is not known as the passive component.*

Particularly, with respect to claim 17-18, the substrate 170 of Ratifcar is clearly not the resistor, the capacitor, or the inductor.

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 10228-US-PA
Application No.: 10/605,082

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully submits that independent claims 9 and 13 patently define over the prior art references, and should be allowed. For at least the same reasons, dependent claims 11-12 and 14-18 patently define over the prior art references as well.

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 10228-US-PA
Application No.: 10/605,082

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that all the pending claims 9 and 11-18 of the invention patently define over the prior art and are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 22, 2005



Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office
7th Floor-1, No. 100
Roosevelt Road, Section 2
Taipei, 100
Taiwan
Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800
Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233
Email: belinda@jciigroup.com.tw
Usa@jciigroup.com.tw